

EPA/600/R-92/238  
January 1993

INVESTIGATION OF INAPPROPRIATE  
POLLUTANT ENTRIES INTO STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEMS

A User's Guide

by

Robert Pitt and Melinda Lalor  
Department of Civil Engineering  
The University of Alabama at Birmingham  
Birmingham, Alabama 35294

Richard Field  
Storm and Combined Sewer Program  
Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Edison, New Jersey 08837

Donald Dean Adrian  
Civil Engineering Department  
Louisiana State University  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

Donald Barbe'  
Department of Civil Engineering  
The University of New Orleans  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70148

Contract Number 68-C9-0033 and Cooperative Agreement Number CR-816862

Project Officer

Richard Field, Chief  
Storm and Combined Sewer Control Program  
Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory  
Edison, New Jersey 08837

This report was conducted in cooperation with the  
Center of Environmental Research Information  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

and  
The Urban Waste Management and Research Center  
The University of New Orleans  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70148

RISK REDUCTION ENGINEERING LABORATORY  
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT  
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268



Printed on Recycled Paper

## NOTICE

The information in this document has been funded wholly or in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under contracts 68-03-3255 and 68-C9-0033 for Foster-Wheeler Enviroresponse, Inc. and under cooperative agreement CR-816862 for the Urban Waste Management and Research Center of the University of New Orleans. Although it has been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative review and has been approved for publication as an EPA document, it does not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred. Also, the mention of trade names or commercial products does not imply endorsement by the United States government.

## FOREWORD

Today's rapidly developing and changing technologies and industrial products and practices frequently carry with them the increased generation of materials that, if improperly dealt with, can threaten both public health and the environment. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. These laws direct the EPA to perform research to define our environmental problems, measure the impacts, and search for solutions.

The Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory is responsible for planning, implementing, and managing research, development, and demonstration programs to provide an authoritative, defensive engineering basis in support of the policies, programs, and regulations of the EPA with respect to drinking water, wastewater, pesticides, toxic substances, solid and hazardous wastes, and Superfund-related activities. This publication is one of the products of that research and provides a vital communication link between the researcher and the user community.

The purpose of this User's Guide is to provide guidance to municipalities for investigating non-stormwater entries into storm drainage systems. Contaminated non-stormwater entries into storm drainage systems have been shown to contribute substantial levels of contaminants to the Nation's waterways. These entries may originate from many diverse sources including sanitary wastewaters from leaky or directly connected sanitary sewerage and from poorly operating septic tank systems, washwaters from laundries and vehicle service facilities, and many types of industrial wastewaters that are discharged to floor drains leading to the storm drainage or from direct industrial wastewater connections to the storm drainage system. Conventional pollution control programs may be ineffective if these pollutant sources are not identified and corrected.

This User's Guide will be useful to municipalities in conducting required studies as part of their stormwater discharge permit activities, in addition to other interested users. It will enable users to identify the type and to estimate the magnitude of non-stormwater pollutant entries into storm drainage systems and to design needed pollution control activities. An associated demonstration project (Pitt and Lalor publication pending) describes the development and testing of the procedures presented in this User's Guide.

E. Timothy Oppelt, Director  
Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory

## ABSTRACT

This User's Guide is the result of a series of EPA sponsored research tasks to develop a procedure to investigate non-stormwater entries into storm drainage systems. A number of past projects have found that dry-weather flows discharging from storm drainage systems can contribute significant pollutant loadings to receiving waters. If these loadings are ignored (e.g., by only considering wet-weather stormwater runoff), little improvement in receiving water conditions may occur with many stormwater control programs. These dry-weather flows may originate from many sources, the most important sources may include sanitary wastewater or industrial and commercial pollutant entries, failing septic tank systems, and vehicle maintenance activities. After identification of the outfalls that contain polluted dry-weather flows, additional survey activities are needed to locate and correct the non-stormwater entries into the storm drainage systems.

This User's Guide contains information to allow the design and conduct of local investigations to identify the types and to estimate the magnitudes of these non-stormwater entries.

This report was submitted in partial fulfillment of contracts numbered 68-03-3255 and 68-C9-0033 and cooperative agreement CR-816862 under the sponsorship of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This report covers a period from October 1, 1990 to September 30, 1992, and work was completed as of September 30, 1992. This report was prepared under subcontract to Foster-Wheeler Enviresponse, Inc. of Edison, New Jersey, and the Urban Waste Management and Research Center of the University of New Orleans.

## CONTENTS

|   |      |
|---|------|
| Foreword .....  | iii  |
| Abstract .....  | iv   |
| Figures .....   | vii  |
| Tables .....  | viii |
| Acknowledgment .....  | ix   |
| <br>  |      |
| 1. Introduction .....   | 1    |
| Role of dry-weather flows in urban stormwater runoff analyses ..... | 1    |
| Current legislation .....   | 2    |
| <br>  |      |
| 2. Overview .....   | 4    |
| Potential dry-weather discharge sources .....                       | 4    |
| Residential and commercial sources .....                            | 4    |
| Industrial sources .....  | 6    |
| Intermittent sources .....  | 6    |
| Direct connections to storm drains .....                            | 7    |
| Infiltration to storm drains .....                                  | 7    |
| Investigative methodology .....                                     | 8    |
| Recommendations .....   | 11   |
| <br>  |      |
| 3. Mapping and Preliminary Watershed Evaluation .....               | 12   |
| Purpose .....   | 12   |
| Mapping .....   | 12   |
| Receiving waters and storm sewer outfalls .....                     | 12   |
| Drainage area for each outfall .....                                | 13   |
| Land uses for each outfall drainage area .....                      | 13   |
| Other relevant information and features .....                       | 16   |
| Preliminary watershed evaluation .....                              | 16   |
| <br>  |      |
| 4. Selection of tracer parameters .....                             | 18   |
| Introduction .....  | 18   |
| Candidate parameters .....  | 19   |
| Physical inspection .....   | 19   |
| Chemical parameters .....   | 21   |
| Toxicity screening tests .....                                      | 25   |
| Tracer characteristics of source flows .....                        | 25   |
| Determining number of observations needed .....                     | 28   |
| Selection of analytical methods .....                               | 30   |
| Detection limit requirements .....                                  | 30   |
| Required sample analytical precision .....                          | 35   |
| Recommended analytical methodology .....                            | 37   |

|    |   |    |
|----|---|----|
| 5. | Initial Field Screening Sampling Activities . . . . .   | 41 |
|    | Sampling strategy . . . . .   | 41 |
|    | Field data collection . . . . .   | 41 |
|    | Outfall locations . . . . .   | 43 |
|    | Field survey . . . . .  | 43 |
|    | Irregular flows . . . . .   | 50 |
| 6. | Data Analysis to Identify Problem Outfalls and Flow Components . . . . .  | 51 |
|    | Indicators of contamination . . . . .   | 52 |
|    | Simple checklist for major flow component Identification . . . . .  | 53 |
|    | Treated potable water . . . . .   | 53 |
|    | Sanitary wastewaters . . . . .  | 58 |
|    | Flow-weighted mixing calculations . . . . .   | 59 |
|    | Example calculations . . . . .  | 59 |
|    | Matrix algebra solution of simultaneous equations . . . . .   | 64 |
|    | Matrix algebra considering probability distributions of library data . . . . .                                    | 65 |
| 7. | Watershed Surveys to Confirm and Locate Inappropriate<br>Pollutant Entries to the Storm Drainage System . . . . . | 66 |
|    | Using tracer parameters in the drainage system . . . . .  | 66 |
|    | Review industrial user surveys or reports . . . . .   | 66 |
|    | Follow-up drainage area and on-site investigations . . . . .  | 66 |
|    | Flow mass balances, dye studies, and smoke tests . . . . .  | 67 |
|    | Locating an industrial source . . . . .   | 67 |
| 8. | Corrective Techniques . . . . .   | 74 |
|    | Public education . . . . .  | 74 |
|    | Commercial and industrial disconnections of non-stormwater sources . . . . .                                      | 76 |
|    | Failing septic tank systems . . . . .   | 76 |
|    | Direct sanitary sewerage connections . . . . .  | 78 |
|    | Rehabilitating storm or sanitary sewers to abate contaminated<br>water infiltration . . . . .                     | 78 |
|    | Zoning and ordinances . . . . .   | 79 |
|    | Widespread sanitary sewerage failure . . . . .  | 80 |
|    | Glossary . . . . .  | 81 |
|    | References . . . . .  | 86 |

## FIGURES

| <u>Number</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 1             | Outline of major topics presented in this User's Guide . . . . .   | 9           |
| 2             | Flow chart for investigative procedures . . . . .  | 10          |
| 3             | Required number of samples for allowable error and COV . . . . .   | 29          |
| 4             | Required detection limits for low COV mixture components<br>having means differing by 1.3 times . . . . .      | 33          |
| 5             | Required detection limits for low COV mixture components<br>having means differing by 5 times . . . . .        | 33          |
| 6             | Required detection limits for low COV mixture components<br>having means differing by 20 times . . . . .       | 34          |
| 7             | Required detection limits for low COV mixture components<br>having means differing by 75 times . . . . .       | 34          |
| 8             | Analysis precision needed for detection of one percent<br>contamination at ninety percent confidence . . . . . | 36          |
| 9             | Outfall characteristics for Birmingham, Alabama,<br>demonstration project . . . . .                            | 42          |
| 10            | Flow chart to identify residential area non-stormwater<br>flow sources . . . . .                               | 57          |
| 11            | Industrial inventory field sheet . . . . .   | 68          |
| 12            | Flowsheet for industrial case example 1 . . . . .  | 70          |
| 13            | Flowsheet for industrial case example 2 . . . . .  | 71          |
| 14            | Flowsheet for industrial case example . . . . .  | 73          |

## TABLES

| <u>Number</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 1             | Potential inappropriate entries into storm drainage systems . . . . .  | 5           |
| 2             | Sources of industrial non-stormwater pollutant entries into<br>storm drainage systems . . . . .                | 14          |
| 3             | Significant chemicals in industrial wastewaters . . . . .  | 24          |
| 4             | Field survey parameters and associated non-stormwater<br>flow sources categories . . . . .                     | 26          |
| 5             | Tracer concentrations found in Birmingham, Alabama, waters . . . . .   | 27          |
| 6             | Detection limit requirements for tracer concentrations found in<br>Birmingham, Alabama waters . . . . .        | 32          |
| 7             | Sample analyses lab sheet . . . . .  | 38          |
| 8             | Field equipment list . . . . .   | 44          |
| 9             | Sample evaluation sheet . . . . .  | 47          |
| 10            | Interpretations of physical observation parameters and<br>likely associated flow sources . . . . .             | 48          |
| 11            | Chemical and physical properties of industrial non-stormwater<br>entries into storm drainage systems . . . . . | 54          |
| 12            | Assumed source flow quality . . . . .  | 60          |
| 13            | Characteristics of source groupings . . . . .  | 61          |
| 14            | Mixture calculations to identify source flow components . . . . .  | 62          |



## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This User's Guide contains information that has been developed and tested in a number of separate research reports investigating inappropriate pollutant entries into storm drainage systems. Many case studies were reviewed during early parts of this research to identify the most appropriate methods of investigation. Information that was obtained from these cities is gratefully acknowledged.

Valuable technical assistance concerning industrial dry-weather discharges was provided by Mark Miller and Tom Meinholtz (Triad Engineering, Inc.) who were supported by Kevin Weiss of the NPDES Branch, Permits Division, Office of Water, of the EPA through the Cadmus Group, Inc. Early report guidance was also provided by Gene Driscoll (Woodward Clyde Consultants), also supported by the Permits Division, Office of Water, of the EPA. Dan Murray, of the Center of Environmental Research Information, Cincinnati, Ohio, EPA, also provided support for the publication of this Guide.

Richard Field, Chief of the Storm and Combined Sewer Pollution Control Program, EPA, was the Project Officer for this project and provided much valued direction during this research. Michael Brown and Marie O'Shea of his staff, along with Ramjee Raghavan at Foster Wheeler Enviresponse, Inc., also provided important project assistance. Darwin Wright of the Office of Research and Development, EPA is gratefully acknowledged for his suggestion to work with the University of New Orleans, Urban Waste Management and Research Center to conduct EPA stormwater research activities. Helpful comments from the report reviewers are also gratefully acknowledged.

